On the basis of reading of the relevant literature, indicate whether the following statements are true or false and (where necessary) succinctly justify/document your answer.

The paper by Atrens et al. (2011) has more than 10 references that are cited in the Results and/or the Discussion sections.

More than 80% of the cited papers in the paper by Eshkalak et al. (2014) are cited in the Introduction.

Atrens et al. (2011) provide evidence that, as the price of CO₂ increases (from $X/ton to $Y/ton), the economic viability of EGS decreases.

Who pays?

Comparison with literature?

Expenses associated with procuring CO₂ dramatically increase the LCOE but income from CO₂ disposal makes the concept much more economically feasible.
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