Micromagnetic simulation of critical current density of spin transfer torque switching in a full-Heusler Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ alloy spin valve nanopillar
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1. Introduction

In recent years, spin transfer torque (STT) switching, proposed by Slonczewski [1] and Berger [2] in 1996, has attracted considerable attention due to its application in high density magnetic random access memory (MRAM). STT devices offer superior performances such as large storage density, high switching speed, low energy consumption, and avoidance of cross writing. Spin polarized electrons carry spin angular momenta from the fixed layer to the free layer. It causes free layer switching when the current density exceeds a critical current density $J_c$. However, the critical current density required to induce the STT-based magnetization dynamics in the spin-valves is as high as $10^6$–$10^7$ A/cm$^2$, and it is challenging to reduce $J_c$ to achieve the compatibility with highly scaled complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor technology while maintaining the thermal stability.

The critical current density for spin transfer torque switching can be estimated by taking into account both spin pumping and the finite penetration depth of the transverse spin current [3–5]. In the macrospin approximation model, $J_c$ at zero temperature can be described as

$$J_c = \frac{2\pi M_s t_F}{h\eta} (H + H_k + 2\pi M_s)$$

where $\eta$ is the Gilbert damping constant, $M_s$ is the saturation magnetization, $t_F$ is the thickness of the free layer, $H$ is the external magnetic field, $H_k$ is the magnetocrystalline anisotropy field, $e$ is the elementary charge, and $h$ is the reduced Planck constant. Many attempts have been made to reduce $J_c$, including using CoFeB as the free layer to reduce $M_s$; [6] with a double spin-filter structure, [7] an antiferromagnetic pinning structure, [8] or inserting a Ru spin scattering layer [9] to increase spin scattering, or using a composite free layer consisting of two ferromagnetic layers with various coupling types [10–13].

According to Eq. (1), Heusler alloys with lower $M_s$, smaller $\eta$, and higher spin polarization factor $\eta$ are excellent candidates for reducing $J_c$ compared to CoFe, Fe, Co and Py. Experimental measurements of Aoshima et al. [14] showed that $J_c$ of Co$_2$MnGe, Co$_2$FeSi, and Co$_2$PtFe$_2$ spin-valves were 1.6 x 10$^7$, 2.7 x 10$^7$, and 5.1 x 10$^7$ J/cm$^2$, respectively. A large magneto-sistance ratio of 6.9% at room temperature (RT) for Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ (CFAS)/Ag/CFAS spin-valves was found [15]. Sukegawa et al. [16] first demonstrated efficient spin transfer switching in Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$-based spin valve, and showed that the resistance–current curves exhibited a two-step switching process, originating from the interplay between the magnetocrystalline anisotropy of CFAS layers and STT. For both experiments and simulations of Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ spin valves under an appropriate negative current, there exists an intermediate (I) state with the direction of the magnetization perpendicular to their original antiparallel (AP) and final parallel (P) spin configurations, [16,17] i.e., the magnetization reversal from the initial AP state to
the I state and then to the P state as the current decreases, forming a two-step switching in the negative part of the hysteretic loop. For a positive current, there is only one-step switching, i.e., the magnetization reversal from P to AP directly. However, there have been no discussions on what kind of conditions will affect the magnitude of the critical current density in the Heusler-based spin valve, such as the external magnetic field, size of nanopillar, spin polarization constant and Gilbert damping constant.

In this paper, we investigated the critical current density of spin transfer torque switching in a full-Heusler Co2FeAl0.5Si0.5 alloy spin-valve nanopillar through micromagnetic simulations. In addition to the observation of the two-step switching behavior under an external magnetic field, we demonstrated the shifts in the resistance versus current hysteretic loop and the variable range of I state under the external magnetic fields. Furthermore, our investigation also shows that the critical current density increases with Gilbert damping constant $\alpha$ and decreases with spin polarization constant $\eta$, which can be used to evaluate different strategies for reducing $J_c$ in experiments. We also present the R–J hysteretic loops and the corresponding domain evolution as a function of the nanopillar size with roughly the same aspect ratio, indicating different switching mechanisms for different sizes.

2. Model description

A spin valve device was investigated with the geometry similar to the structure of spin valve in Ref. 16(CFAS (20 nm)/Ag (4 nm)/CFAS (2.5 nm)). As shown in Fig. 1, we employed a Cartesian coordinate system where the $x$-axis is the long axis of the ellipse along the CFAS [110] direction (easy axis) and the $y$-axis is along the short axis ([110]). The two CFAS layers are separated by a thin Ag layer, and the bottom CFAS layer is the free layer whose magnetization dynamics is triggered by a spin-polarized current. The top CFAS layer is the pinned layer with its magnetization vector $M$ of the layer is along the negative or positive $x$ axis. The middle Ag layer is a spacer layer whose function is to avoid the exchange coupling between the two CFAS layers. The thickness of the spacer layer (4 nm) is much smaller than the spin diffusion length to conserve the spin momentum. The positive current is generally defined as electrons flowing from the free layer to the pinned layer. The positive current is generally defined as electrons flowing from the free layer to the pinned layer.

The magnetization dynamics is described by using a generalized Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert–Slonczewski (LLGS) equation, \[ \frac{dM}{dt} = -\gamma M \times H_{\text{eff}} - \frac{2\gamma}{M_s} M \times (M \times H_{\text{eff}}) - \frac{2\mu_B j}{(1 + 2\eta^2)edM_s^3} g(M,P)M \times (M \times P) + \frac{2\mu_B j}{(1 + 2\alpha^2)edM_s^3} g(M,P)(M \times P) \] (2)

where $M$ is the magnetization of the free layer, $P$ is the magnetization of the pinned layer, $H_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective field, $\gamma = \gamma/(1 + z^2)$, $\gamma$ is the electron gyromagnetic ratio, and $z$ is the dimensionless damping parameter. The effective field includes the anisotropy field, the demagnetization field, the external field and the exchange field, namely $H_{\text{eff}} = H_\chi + H_A + H_{\text{ex}} + H_{\text{an}}$.

The last two terms on the right side of Eq. (2) describe STT that tends to drag the magnetization away from its initial state to its final state. The scalar function is given by \[ g(M,P) = [-4 + (1 + \eta^2)(3 + MP/M_s^3)/4\eta^3(2\gamma)^{-1} \]

where the angle between $M$ and $P$ is $\theta$, $M \cdot P/M_s^3 = \cos \theta$.

$H_{\text{STT}}$ is the corresponding effective field given by \[ H_{\text{STT}} = 2\mu_B g(M,P)\langle \gamma edM_s^3 \rangle \]

which $\mu_B$, $J$, $d$, $i$, $M_s$ are the Bohr magneton, current density, thickness of the free layer, electron charge, and saturation magnetization, respectively.

We adopted the following magnetic parameters [16], saturation magnetization $M_s = 9.0 \times 10^5 \text{ A/m}$, exchange constant $A = 2.0 \times 10^{-11} \text{ J/m}$, and magnetocrystalline anisotropy constant $K_1 = -1.0 \times 10^7 \text{ J/m}^3$. Other parameters are Gilbert damping parameter $\alpha = 0.01$, and spin polarization factor $\eta = 0.76$. The dynamics of magnetization was investigated by numerically solving the time-dependent LLGS equation using the Gauss–Seidel projection method [18,19] with a constant time step $\Delta t = 23.8993 \text{ fs}$ for getting the results exactly. The samples were discretized in computational cells of $2.5 \times 2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ nm}^3$ [20–22].

3. Results and discussion

We investigated the critical current density of spin transfer torque switching in a full-Heusler Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ alloy spin-valve nanopillar with a device area of $250 \times 190 \text{ nm}^2$. As shown in Fig. 2, the relative resistance versus external magnetic field (R–H) hysteretic loops were simulated with different positive current densities, and the external magnetic field is along the $\pm x$ or $-x$ axis. A two-step magnetization switching behavior, as observed experimentally [16] was obtained at a constant current density of $2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ A/cm}^2$, and the curve yields good agreement with the experimental results. In the R–H curves, three states are evident: the parallel (P), antiparallel (AP), and intermediate (I: perpendicular to P) states. For one-step switching, the magnetization flip from P to AP are above the critical magnetic field. While for the two-step switching in the negative part of the hysteretic loop, Heff (effective field) is the corresponding effective field given by

\[ H_{\text{STT}} = \frac{2\mu_B g(M,P)\langle \gamma edM_s^3 \rangle}{(1 + 2\alpha^2)edM_s^3} \]

where $\mu_B$, $J$, $d$, $i$, $M_s$ are the Bohr magneton, current density, thickness of the free layer, electron charge, and saturation magnetization, respectively.

We adopted the following magnetic parameters [16], saturation magnetization $M_s = 9.0 \times 10^5 \text{ A/m}$, exchange constant $A = 2.0 \times 10^{-11} \text{ J/m}$, and magnetocrystalline anisotropy constant $K_1 = -1.0 \times 10^7 \text{ J/m}^3$. Other parameters are Gilbert damping parameter $\alpha = 0.01$, and spin polarization factor $\eta = 0.76$. The dynamics of magnetization was investigated by numerically solving the time-dependent LLGS equation using the Gauss–Seidel projection method [18,19] with a constant time step $\Delta t = 23.8993 \text{ fs}$ for getting the results exactly. The samples were discretized in computational cells of $2.5 \times 2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ nm}^3$ [20–22].

3. Results and discussion

We investigated the critical current density of spin transfer torque switching in a full-Heusler Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ alloy spin-valve nanopillar with a device area of $250 \times 190 \text{ nm}^2$. As shown in Fig. 2, the relative resistance versus external magnetic field (R–H) hysteretic loops were simulated with different positive current densities, and the external magnetic field is along the $\pm x$ or $-x$ axis. A two-step magnetization switching behavior, as observed experimentally [16] was obtained at a constant current density of $2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ A/cm}^2$, and the curve yields good agreement with the experimental results. In the R–H curves, three states are evident: the parallel (P), antiparallel (AP), and intermediate (I: perpendicular to P) states. For one-step switching, the magnetization flip from P to AP are above the critical magnetic field. While for the two-step switching, the magnetization flip from AP to P first at a smaller magnetic field. Then, it switches from I to P at a larger field. We attributed this two-step switching to the fourfold in-plane
magnetocrystalline anisotropy of CFAS layers [17]. The hysteresis offset field ($H_{\text{offset}}$) acquired from the R–H curves is 16 Oe at a constant current density of $2.5 \times 10^5$ A/cm$^2$, which is in agreement with the experiments [16]. Furthermore, we changed the current value to determine the character of current in the magnetization switching. As shown in Fig. 2, we found that the R–H loop shifts to right or left as the current density increases to $3.5 \times 10^5$ A/cm$^2$ or decreases to $1.5 \times 10^5$ A/cm$^2$, respectively. The positive spin polarization current drags the free layer magnetization to the antiparallel direction, and hence the magnetization of P is unstable and easy to switch from P to AP as current density increases. The critical field of switching from P to AP decreases with the current and increases with the reverse current from AP to P. Therefore, we can conclude that the change in current density could lead to shift of the R–H hysteretic loops. We also simulated the R–H hysteretic loop without current. The offset field $H_{\text{offset}}$ in this case is equal to 0. The two-step switching disappears when the current density decreases to zero, leading to a symmetric hysteresis loop, indicating that the spin polarization current is the primary reason for the unsymmetrical hysteresis loop as shown in our previous paper [17].

Fig. 3 shows the relative resistance $R$ as a function of current density ($R$–$J$) at various directions of external magnetic fields in the CFAS/Ag/CFAS nanopillar. All four R–J hysteretic loops exhibit two-step switching behavior. Under the magnetic field of 12 Oe along the $+x$ axis, $J_{c1}$ for AP to I does not change while $J_{c2}$ for I to P increases from $-9.0 \times 10^5$ A/cm$^2$ to $-8.0 \times 10^5$ A/cm$^2$ and $J_{c2}$ for P to AP increases from $2.5 \times 10^7$ A/cm$^2$ to $3.5 \times 10^7$ A/cm$^2$. This can be explained by the fact that the external field along the $+x$ axis has a slight effect on the magnetization switching from AP to I, and it favors the switching from I to P, dragging the magnetization to the $+x$ axis. The Slonczewski’s model takes into account the interface spin-flip scattering effect. STT versus $\theta$ is described by Eq. (5), and STT reaches its maximum at an angle $\theta$ larger than 90°, leading to a larger magnitude of STT at 1 (90°) than that at AP (180°) [17]. It is easy to reverse from I to P than AP to I under an external field along $+x$ axis. However, the external field along the $-x$-axis impedes the switching from P to AP under a positive current. Therefore, the hysteretic loop shifts to the right under the $+x$ axis external magnetic field and vice versa. This agrees with the experiment [16] that the range of half-switching is reduced for the applied external field along the $+x$ axis.

There is a large decrease of $J_{c2}$ from I to AP when the external magnetic field of 12 Oe is along the $+y$ axis. It causes the magnetization to stay in the $+y$ axis (I state), hindering the magnetization switching from I to P. The field is also promoting the switching from AP to I, while impeding the switching from P to AP. Therefore, the loop under the $+y$ axis external field shifts to the left for the negative current density and to the right for the positive current density. The range of half-switching current increases as the magnitude of external field along the $+y$ axis increases. Additionally, the $+z$ axis external field always impedes the magnetization switching to $x$ axis in both current directions since the magnetization does not flip to the $z$ axis. So the area of the loop under the $+z$ axis external field is larger than that under the zero field, and it requires overcoming higher barrier to switch the magnetization in both positive and negative current densities.

In order to understand the variation of the critical current density $J_c$ with Gilbert damping constant $\alpha$ and spin polarization constant $\eta$ in CFAS/Ag/CFAS nanopillar, we performed a set of numerical simulations. We obtained three curves with different slopes, showing different effects of $\alpha$ and $\eta$ on $J_c$. The “Gilbert damping” of the second term of Eq. (2) takes into account of energy dissipation as a result of coupling to lattice vibrations and spin-flip scattering [23,24] although there is an active debate whether this form of the damping is correct [25–27]. The large $\alpha$ value may result from the inhomogeneity due to porosity [28–30] since the pores at a grain boundary cause the non-uniformity in the internal magnetizing field or non-uniform demagnetizing field due to the internal defect. In addition, high spin polarization current contributes to the large magnetoresistance ratios in spin valves using half-metallic full-Heusler alloys [31–33].

Fig. 4 shows the distribution of the values of $J_c$ as a function of Gilbert damping constant $\alpha$ and reciprocal of spin polarization constant $1/\eta$. Wang et al. investigated the relationships of $J_c$ vs $\alpha$ and $\eta$, and their obtained theoretical limit of critical current density is far below the present lowest critical current density [34]. There are three
critical current density values in the spin valve nanopillar CFAS/Ag/CFAS because of the two-step switching. We simulated the three curves of critical current densities and found a linear increase in critical current density with $x$ and $1/\eta$, similar to the macrospin approximation model and Wang's theoretical prediction. However, the slopes of three curves are different, indicating that $x$ and $\eta$ have different contributions to $J_c$. Eq. (1) is derived for the case of uniaxial in-plane anisotropy when the applied magnetic field is parallel to the anisotropy axis. However, CFAS of Hesuler alloy possesses four-fold in-plane magnetic anisotropy. Therefore, the slopes are different as a function of the Gilbert damping constant and spin polarized constant. This implies that we should multiply different constants while using Eq. (1) of the macrospin model to get the critical current density of spin transfer switching in Hesuler alloy spin valve. In Fig. 4(a), $J_c$ is plotted versus Gilbert damping constant $x$ using an experimental value of $\eta = 0.76$ for the full-Heusler alloy CFAS[16]. For $x = 0.005$, $J_c^1$ from I to P is $-5.0 \times 10^6$ A/cm$^2$, and $J_c^2$ from P to AP is $1.7 \times 10^7$ A/cm$^2$. As $x$ increases, all the three critical current densities increase. For example, for $x = 0.04$, $J_c^1$, $J_c^3$, and $J_c^2$ increase to $8.0 \times 10^7$ A/cm$^2$, $-1.1 \times 10^7$ A/cm$^2$, and $-2.7 \times 10^7$ A/cm$^2$, respectively. It is shown that increase in $J_c^2$ with $x$ is faster than $J_c^1$ and $J_c^3$. This is also due to the fact that the relationship of STT versus $\theta$ (the angle between M and P) is not symmetric with respect to 90° in the Slonczewski’s model[1], and thus the switching from AP to P is easier than that from P to AP[15]. Fig. 4(b) describes the relationship between $J_c$ and $1/\eta$ at $x = 0.01$. The three curves indicate that $J_c^1$ increases faster with $1/\eta$ than $J_c^3$ and $J_c^2$. These results may give guidance to the design of experiments.

Fig. 5 shows the R–J hysteretic loops as a function of device areas assuming approximately the same aspect ratios (1.3–1.5). The values of $J_c$ in all cases are in good agreement with the experimental results [16]. The critical current density values from the simulations are $J_c^3 = -6.0 \times 10^6$ A/cm$^2$, $J_c^2 = -1.3 \times 10^7$ A/cm$^2$, and $J_c^1 = 3.0 \times 10^6$ A/cm$^2$ for the $300 \times 200$ nm$^2$ ellipse, and $J_c^3 = -4.0 \times 10^6$ A/cm$^2$, $J_c^2 = -8.0 \times 10^6$ A/cm$^2$, and $J_c^1 = 2.3 \times 10^7$ A/cm$^2$ for the $150 \times 110$ nm$^2$ ellipse. It is shown that the larger the device area, the larger the critical current densities are. STT has to overcome larger coercivity in a larger size of nanopillar to switch from the initial state to the final state. Therefore, the area of hysteretic loop also increases with the device area except for sizes below a critical dimension at which the magnetization structure is a single domain. Other groups [35,36] also reported that there is a rough linear increase in $J_c$ with device area in other materials systems. Heindl et al. [35] found that the dependence of $J_c$ on the device size is a result of a non-uniform and complex magnetization reversal process due to the excitation of non-uniform mode oscillations in devices with large areas. In our previous work, [17] we also showed that the switching is first excited at the boundaries of the structure via the nucleation process. They expand to the center of the structure and cause the formation of multi-domains, showing non-uniform magnetization reversal. We focus on the size-dependence of $J_c$ due to different coercivity at the device with roughly the same aspect ratio. The magnetization reversals for all the three pillars are non-uniform switching process except for single-domain switching for pillar size smaller than the critical size for multidomain formation.

We now discuss the different switching mechanisms for large and small size nanopillars. Fig. 6 shows snapshots of the main steps of spin-transfer switching processes from AP to P at the

![Fig. 5. Size dependent resistance as a function of current density of CFAS/Ag/CFAS nanopillar.](image)

![Fig. 6. Snapshots of magnetization distribution in the free layer. The colors represent the average magnetization component of < mz > (red positive, cyan negative). (a)–(e) domain structures for the 300 × 230 nm$^2$ ellipse (f) domain structures for the 50 × 35 nm$^2$ ellipse.](image)
current density of $1.3 \times 10^7 \text{A/cm}^2$ for a $300 \times 250 \text{nm}^2$ ellipse and at the current density of $4.8 \times 10^7 \text{A/cm}^2$ for a $50 \times 35 \text{nm}^2$ ellipse. The colors represent the average magnetization components of $<m_y>$.

In Fig. 6(a), there is a single domain in the initial state and all the magnetizations are along the $-x$ axis. Then, this free layer will change to a multi-domain structure in Fig. 6(b) and (c) driven by the spin polarization current. Due to the four-fold in-plane magnetocrystalline anisotropy, both 90° and 180° domain walls are observed with more complex domain structures than normal metal nanopillars [21,35]. The magnetization at each point of the free layer experiences a torque exerted by STT. STT has no effect on the 180° domain (the magnetization along $+x$ or $-x$ axis) because $H_{int}$ is zero when the angle $\theta$ is 0° or 180°. The 90° domain (the magnetization along $+y$ or $-y$ axis) experiences a torque from the spin polarization current, and the area of 90° domain decreases and eventually disappears in Fig. 6(e). However, the switching process of single domain for a pillar smaller than the critical size for multidomain formation is very different. From Fig. 6(f), the single domain switching takes place from I (initial state along the $-x$ axis) to VI (final state along the $+x$ axis), and all the intermediate states show uniform magnetization direction.

4. Conclusions

We investigated the critical current density of spin transfer torque switching in a full-Heusler Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ (CFAS) alloy spin-valve nanopillar using micromagnetic simulations. We concluded that the four-fold in-plane magnetocrystalline anisotropy results in a two-step switching with the asymmetry in the switching loop caused by the spin polarization current. Furthermore, both the current density and the external magnetic field may lead to the shift of R-H or R-J hysteretic loops, which is consistent with the experimental observations. In addition, the range of half-switching varies with the magnetic field; it decreases with an external field along the $x$ axis. We demonstrated that the critical current densities decrease with the Gilbert damping constant $\alpha$ and the reciprocal of spin polarization constant $1/\eta$, in agreement with the macrospin approximation model. Finally, the critical densities decrease with the device area except for sizes below a critical dimension at which the magnetization structure is a single domain. The magnetization reversal of larger size of pillar shows the non-uniform switching process while the single domain switching shows uniform magnetization.
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