



Do not write in this space

**Poster#** \_\_\_\_\_

We ask you to judge the exhibit in three separate areas: **Display, Content and Oral Presentation**. Please assign a numerical score in each area using the ranges identified as a guide. Space is provided at the end of the form for any additional comments or clarifications. These comments will be used in the event of a tie. A separate optional form for feedback to the student is provided, should you wish to use it.

<b>Display: 30 points</b> Identifications, Visuals, and Language	
<b>Excellent</b> 25 – 30 points	<b>Title and main headings:</b> <i>clear, informative and readable from a distance of 4-6 feet</i>
<b>Very Good</b> 19 – 24 points	<b>Authors and resources specified:</b> <i>student name(s), collaborator(s), adviser(s), and department/college(s) all specified</i>
<b>Good</b> 13-18 points	<b>Funding and other university resources acknowledged:</b> <i>acknowledgements complete and appropriately displayed</i>
<b>Limited</b> 7-12 points	<b>Office of Research Protections (IRB) Documentation (as needed):</b> <i>details clearly displayed</i>
<b>Poor</b> 0-6 points	<b>Photographs, drawings, charts, tables or graphics:</b> <i>complete, simple, well organized, clearly demonstrate information to be conveyed</i>
	<b>Language:</b> <i>clear; jargon avoided, when possible – properly defined necessary technical terms</i>
	<b>Descriptions:</b> <i>concise and appropriate for poster display of information to a general audience</i>
	<b>Spelling and Grammar:</b> <i>correctly used, throughout</i>
<b>SCORE</b>	<b>Supplementary Materials (if any):</b> <i>properly supplements the display; not a distraction from poster information</i>

<b>Content: 40 Points</b> Objective, Significance, Methodology or Techniques, Results, Conclusions and Future Directions	
<b>Excellent</b> 33-40 points	<b>Objective(s) of research:</b> <i>clearly stated goals/research questions</i>
<b>Very Good</b> 24-32 points	<b>Significance to field and to society in general:</b> <i>identified</i>
<b>Good</b> 17-24 points	<b>Appropriate methodology or techniques:</b> <i>clearly illustrated</i>
<b>Limited</b> 9-16 points	<b>Interpretation of results and conclusions:</b> <i>logically drawn and justified</i>
<b>Poor</b> 0-8 points	<b>Direction for future study:</b> <i>suggested, with logical links to the results or the limitations of research</i>
<b>SCORE</b>	

**(OVER)**

<b>Oral Presentation: 30 Points</b> Clarity, Understandability, Comprehensiveness, Conciseness	
<b>Excellent</b> 25-30 points	<p><b>Completeness:</b> <i>all the major points articulated, e.g. explanations of research questions, background, process and techniques, results, as well as the role(s) of student(s) in the overall project if students work with collaborators</i></p> <p><b>General Audience:</b> <i>presentation geared to a general audience</i></p> <p><b>Understandability:</b> <i>student(s) articulate ideas clearly and logically, keeping the audience's attention throughout their presentation</i></p> <p><b>Timing:</b> <i>formal presentation does not exceed five minutes</i></p> <p><b>Responsiveness to Questions:</b> <i>concise, high-quality answers to subsequent questions</i></p>
<b>Very Good</b> 19-24 points	
<b>Good</b> 13-18 points	
<b>Limited</b> 7-12 points	
<b>Poor</b> 0-6 points	
<b>SCORE</b>	

Open-ended Comments (as needed):

**Please complete the optional student feedback form if you wish to provide comments to the participant.**

**Thank you for taking time to serve as an Exhibition Judge!**