

* k_{20} greater in Sawdust than in Sewage Sludge (!?)

P

Name: L. J. R.

On the basis of your reading of the relevant literature, indicate whether the following statements are true or false and briefly document/justify your selection.

F It is easy to identify a paper cited by Plaza *et al.* (2009) in order to strengthen their principal conclusions.

Nothing in R+D section!
Intro? → Refs. 11-13?
Ref. 11 → No!
Ref. 12 → Not really!
Ref. 13 → -1
vs. Sawdust/sewage sludge (% k?)
Figs 6, 7 (and 9)

... for increased cofiring of sewage sludge, an elevated risk ... in complete contrast ... of sawdust as a substitute fuel.

↓

Straw

T It is easy to identify a paper that cites Plaza *et al.* (2009) in which the authors provide supporting or contradictory evidence regarding the authors' main message(s).

7 papers

irrelevant

Perez-Jeldres Energy 2018: eq 9
+ pine, T ↑, H₂O evap OK

Bhuiyan 2018 → Review
+ 2016 → Ref 16-24, etc.

Teixeira 2014: straw, olive cake, wood pellets

** ⇒ p 704: "On the contrary ..."

[70%, etc.]
details important?

T It is easy to identify a paper cited by Mokmeli *et al.* (2014) in order to strengthen their principal conclusions.

Hamada (1961)

... but not verify its contents...

$E_a = 70.9 \text{ kJ/mol}$
 $k = f(T, \text{Conc})$
↑!?

F It is easy to identify a paper that cites Mokmeli *et al.* (2014) in which the authors provide supporting or contradictory evidence regarding the authors' main message(s).

5 papers

- Gao (2018): X
- Wu (JES, 2017): X
- rest are self-citations (⇒ OK?)