

On the basis of your reading of the relevant literature, indicate whether the following statements are true or false and briefly document/justify your selection.

I It is relatively easy to identify, either in a paper cited by Henthorne *et al.* (2011) or in the paper itself, an argument that provides support for the water quality criterion utilized by the authors and thus facilitates the understanding of its economic impact.

Fig 5, Fig 8, Fig 9
ASP addition?
low-salinity H₂O? } see Fig. 4

F It is relatively easy to identify at least one paper that cites Henthorne *et al.* (2011) in which the authors utilize (and criticize or praise?) some of the information contained (or not contained?) in Figure 9.

no, not in Web of Science ...

"profit per additional barrel produced is most favorable in the low salinity cases" OK?

(easy?) Other procedures?

T/F It is relatively easy to identify a graph, either in the paper by Caporin and Fontini (2017) or in a cited reference, which provides the essential historical perspective announced (or 'promised') in the paper's title.

⇒ long-run graph? 1997-2013 sufficiently long? ⇒ Hardley (2018)?
Ghaury (2006)?
Rosthal (2010)? etc.

T It is relatively easy to identify at least one paper that discusses the same issues (and arrives at similar conclusions?) as Caporin and Fontini (2017).

No! Controversial (as expected?)

OK!