

On the basis of your reading of the relevant literature, indicate whether the following statements are true or false and briefly document/justify your selection.

T It is easy to identify a paper cited by Johnston and van Kooten (2015) which provides support and/or justification for the selection of western Canada in this case study.

Yes → WPAC, 2012 ⇒ Wood pellet manufacturing capacity

T/F It is easy to identify at least one paper that cites Johnston and van Kooten (2015) in which the authors use some of their key economic numbers.

Wang (2018) → No!

Melikyan (2017) → qualitative → No!

Fogarasi (2017) → - - -

x10
etc.

T It is easy to identify a paper cited by Candelise et al. (2012) which provides the (essential?) historical perspective (e.g., regarding the evolution of the most important costs).

Refs 1, 2 ⇒ MW of PV, but not \$

TF prices vs. time (OK!)

T/F It is easy to identify at least one paper that cites Candelise et al. (2012) in which the authors provide at least qualitative support for their main conclusion(s).

x58 (!)

⇒ Scan a few representative examples and document!

(1) In and Te price ↑ has a considerable impact on Cde + CIGS production costs

(2) Concerns over impact of active material scarcity (price ↑) stimulate further technological progress (eff ↑, thinner layers, etc.)