BAND THEORY OF PYROCARBONS AND COKES

by Oscar GONZALEZ* and Alphonse CHARLIER Laboratoire de Physique du Solide, Université de Metz (France)

I. Introduction.

We present a two dimensional model which gives good agreement with observed experimental results (1) on pyrocarbons and cokes near the graphitization zone.

It is based on Wallace's ⁽²⁾ calculations, supplementary terms are provided by including overlapping integral between nearest neighbouring atoms and exchange integral between second neighbouring atoms in the layer.

II. Energy.

By linear combination of Bloch atomic orbitals we construct the following secular determinant

$$0 = \begin{cases} E_o - \delta_o' f(R) - E & F(R)(E_o S_o - \delta_o - E S_R) \\ (E_o S_{AB} - \delta_o - E S_{AB}) F'(R) & E_o - \delta_o' f(R) - E \end{cases}$$

The energy values obtained by determinantal resolution, the energy $E_{\rm c}$ and the dispersion formula near the corner of the first Brillouin zone are respectively:

$$E(k) = E_0 \pm \frac{V_0 |F(k)| + V_0 \cdot f(k)}{A + S_{AB} |F(k)|}$$

$$E_e = E_o + 3 \%$$

III. State's density.

The number of electronic states in the energy interval d E is

$$N(E) = \frac{4Am}{27\pi a^2 S^2} \left(\frac{|E-E_c|}{\frac{1}{m} + |E-E_c|} \right)$$

$$m = \frac{35}{91.5 + 16}$$

this seems to be a reasonable curve intermediate between the Wallace's theoretical linear form and the Coulson more experimental one.

IV. Electrical conductivity

In order to estimate the electrical conductivity parallel to the graphitic layer, the general current density

and the Fermi-Dirac distribution function:

& undisturbed function

supplementary

term due to applied electrical field, are used and lead us to

After integral evaluations we obtain

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{16\pi e^{2} \gamma KT}{2} \left[\log^{2} - m\pi KT \right]$$

This result may be regarded as the sum of two terms: Wallace's one and a supplementary correction ΔG_{μ} with

$$\Delta G_{\parallel} = -\frac{48 \pi^{2} e^{2} z (KT)^{2} S}{\pi^{2} c (98'_{0}S + 8'_{0})}$$

A theory of the mean free path has been given by Mott and Gurney (3); according to this calculation

$$\tau = \frac{\ell}{v} \qquad \qquad \ell = C\left(\frac{T}{\Theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(e^{\frac{\Theta}{2}} - 1\right)$$

8 is the Debye temperature of the sample.

The agreement with experimental measurements is good if we assume a dependance between high temperature treatment and the Debye temperature

V. Diamagnetic susceptibility.

The value of the diamagnetic susceptibility is obtained by a similar procedure as that used by Mc Clure.

$$\chi = \chi$$

Mac Clure $\left(1 + \frac{9 \, 8_0' \, S}{8_0}\right)^2$

$$\chi_{\text{lac Clure}} = -\frac{0,010}{T}$$
 Sech $\frac{5}{2KT}$

The comparaison with Ganguli and Krishnan's (4) experimental results permits the evaluation of the parameter \mathbf{f}_{0}^{1} ; the numerical value is 0,02 eV.

VI. Conclusion.

This rough theoritical model may be completed with better calculation of the relaxation time and its dependance with graphitization.

VI. References.

- (1) KLEIN C.A, J. of App. Phys. 33,11, (1962) SHARMA S.K., SEN GUPTA. S.K, J. Sci. Industr. Res. Vol 20 D, 247-251 (1961)
- (2) WALLACE P.R., Phys. Rev. 71, 9, 622-634 (1947)
- (3) MOTT & GURNEY Electronic Processes in Jonic Crystals, 107, University Press
- (4) MAC CLURE J.W, Phys. Rev 104, 666-671 (1956)
- * Present adress "Universidad de Oriente", Physics Department
 CULMIA (Sucre) VENEZUELA