MECHANISM FOR FORMATION OF GRAPHITE "ACCEPTOR COMPOUNDS" W. C. Forsman

Department of Chemical & Biochemical Engineering University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

A review of the intercalation literature suggests that a single mechanism accounts for most known reactions that lead to so-called acceptor compounds. In many of the well documented cases, it is clear that before intercalation can take place, the graphite lattice must first be attacked by an electrophylic reagent. As the reagent removes electrons from the graphite, negative ions, accompanied by neutral molecules, diffuse into the lattice. This is undoubtedly the case in the formation of the aluminum chloride intercalation compound.

It has been established that, when acting separately, neither chlorine gas nor the vapor of AlCl₃ will intercalate the graphite lattice (1). A mixture of the two will, however, cause rapid intercalation (1). This is clearly an example of a Friedel-Crafts type process (2). Most probably, the complex AlCl₄···Cl⁺ is formed at the graphite-vapor interface by adsorbed AlCl₃ and Cl₂. It extracts electrons from the lattice and releases chlorine atoms, which couple to regenerate chlorine gas. The AlCl₄ then diffuses into the lattice along with neutral AlCl₃ molecules.

Although it has been reported that the Friedel-Crafts catalyst FeCl₃ can be intercalated without the addition of Cl₂ gas (3,4), Rüdorff, et al. (5) pointed out that at intercalation temperaures FeCl₃ decomposes to generate enough chlorine to effect intercalation by the mechanism described above. Although there is less detail given in the literature on either reaction conditions or characterization of products (6), the above comments on intercalation by FeCl₃ apply equally well to ZnCl₂, BeCl₂, ZrCl₄, NbCl₅ and TaCl₅ - all known to be Friedel-Crafts catalysts (2).

Although pure BF_3 does not intercalate, introducing small amounts of water into the reacting system effects rapid intercalation (7). This is readily explained by attack on the graphite by the highly electrophylic $\cdots H^{\dagger}$ of the well known complex (2)

Ubbelohde (8) wrote a balanced reaction for the formation of graphite nitrate that gives NO_2 as a side product. This suggests that intercalation is effected by attack on graphite by nitronium ions, NO_2^+ , which capture electrons and generate NO_2 . In a series of experiments from this laboratory, we recently established that NO_2 is indeed generated during intercalation of highly oriented pyrolytic graphite by the vapor of $\mathrm{100}\%$ HNO3. There is thus little doubt about the role played by nitromium ions in the formation of graphite nitrate.

It is important to note, however, that after formation of a 2nd stage compound, molecular HNO₃ could be moved in and out of the intercalated lattice by adjusting its partial pressure without concomitant oxidation or reduction of the graphite. Varying the HNO₃ content between apparent 2nd and 4th stages had little effect on electrical conductivity and lattice spacing (9).

Intercalation compounds based on AsF_5 and SbF_5 are of special interest because of conflicting evidence concerning their mechanism of formation. Opalovskii (10) reported that CIF was evolved when graphite was intercalated in a mixture of SbF_5 and ClF_3 , which suggests the mechanism

$$SbF_5 + ClF_3 = SbF_6 \cdot \cdot \cdot ClF_2^+$$

$$SbF_6^- \cdot \cdot \cdot ClF_2^+ + nC \rightarrow SbF_6^- + C_n^+ + ClF_2$$
interca-
unstable
lated inter-
mediate

Graphite is also readily intercalated with liquid mixtures of SbF_5 and HF. The well-known electrophylic species in this mixture is H_2F^{\dagger} generated by the reaction (11)

$$SbF_5 + 2HF = SbF_6^- + H_2F^+$$

 $2 \text{ ClF}_2 \rightarrow \text{ClF} + \text{ClF}_3$

If graphite is oxidized by $\mathrm{H_2F}^+$, however, either $\mathrm{H_2}$ must be liberated or Sb^V must be reduced to Sb^III . We have established that no ${
m H_2}$ is formed during intercalation; although ${
m Sb}^{
m III}$ was found in the reaction mixture, we have not satisfied ourselves that it could not have been formed by a side reaction. This must be done before its presence represents conclusive evidence for the proposed mechanism.

Both ${\rm SbF}_5$ and ${\rm AsF}_5$ intercalate graphite without addition of co-reagents, and there is evidence the intercalation involves only diffusion into the graphite lattice followed by electron transfer (12,13). An alternative mechanism involving electrophylic attack would be:

$$MF_5 + MF_5 \approx MF_6 \cdot \cdot \cdot MF_4$$
adsorbed complex

$$\operatorname{MF}_6^- \cdot \operatorname{MF}_4^+ + \operatorname{nC} \rightarrow \operatorname{MF}_6^- + \operatorname{C}_n^+ + \operatorname{MF}_4$$
interca- unstable lated intermediate

$$2MF_4 \rightarrow MF_5 + MF_3$$

Lin (14) reports that AsF3 is evolved during intercalation by pure AsF5. In addition, we have identified substantial quantities of SbF3 in the reaction mixture after liquid phase intercalation by ${
m SbF}_5$, but we have not yet established beyond doubt that it could not have been introduced by a side reaction. Consequently, at this writing it is still not established whether or not intercalation by AsF_5 and SbF_5 is by simple diffusion and electron transfer, or by oxidation of graphite by M^V , which is reduced to $M^{\rm III}$, accompanied by diffusion of MF_{6}^{-} and MF_{5}^{-} (and possibly MF_3) into the lattice.

Supported by the National Science Foundation, MRL Program under Grant No. DMR 76-00678.

References

- 1. Dzurus, M.L. and Hennig, G.R., J. American Chem. Soc., 79, 1051 (1957).
- 2. Olah, G.A. (Editor), "Friedel-Crafts and Related Reactions, " Vol. 1, Interscience, New York, 1963.
- 3. Hooley, J.G. and Bartlett, M., Carbon, 5, 417 (1967).

- 4. Novikov, Y.N., et al., Zhurnal Strukturnoi Khimii,
- 11, 1039 (1970). 5. Rüdorff, W. et al., Angew. Chem. Internat. Edit., 2, 67 (1963).
- 6. Croft, R.C., Australian J. <u>Chem.</u>, <u>9</u>, 184 (1956).
- 7. Vogel, F.L., personal communications.
- 8. Ubbelohde, A.R., Proc. Soc. A., 304, 25 (1968).
- 9. Vogel, F.L., Zeller, C. and W. Forsman, presented at the 13th Biennial Conference on Carbon, University of California, Irvine, California, July 18-22, 1977.
- 10. Opalovskii, A.A., et al., Zhur. Neorg. Khim., 19, 1518 (1974).
- 11. Dean, P.A.W., et al., <u>J</u>. Chem. Soc. (A), p. 341 (1971).
- 12. Opalovskii, A.A., et al, Zhur. Neorg. Khim., 17, 1214 (1972).
- 13. Lalancette, et al., J. Chem.
- Soc. Comm., p. 815 (1973). 14. Lin, H.C., et al., <u>Inorg.</u> Nucl. Chem. Lettr., 11, 601 (1975).