

THEORY OF THE G-FACTOR OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL GRAPHITE*

J. W. McClure (University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon)- A new calculation of the spin resonance g-factor of two-dimensional graphite has been performed. A correction to the previous calculation¹ has been found. The new term comes from the spin-orbit splitting of the Landau level at the band degeneracy ($n=0$). Though the splitting is independent of the magnetic field, the degeneracy of the Landau level is proportional to the magnetic field strength so that the term produces a field-independent contribution to the average g-factor. The total g-shift may be written:

$$\delta g = \frac{\alpha \lambda x}{\mu m (2 \cosh x)} \left[\left(\frac{3ma^2 \gamma_0^2}{2\hbar^2 \mu} \right) \frac{x}{\sinh^2 x} + \alpha \tanh x \right], \quad (1)$$

where $x = \mu/2kT$, μ is the energy of the Fermi level, k is Boltzmann's constant, T is the absolute temperature, α is the probability coefficient of 3d-functions in the π band, λ is of the order of the 3d atomic spin-orbit interaction,¹ γ_0 is the two-dimensional band parameter, m is the electron mass and \hbar is Planck's constant divided by 2π . The result may also be written in the following way:

$$\delta g x_p = \frac{2\hbar^2 \alpha \lambda}{\gamma_0^2 m a^2} (x_1 - x_3) + \alpha^2 \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} x_p, \quad (2)$$

where x_p is the calculated paramagnetic susceptibility of the conduction electrons and $x_1 - x_3$ is the anisotropy in the calculated diamagnetic susceptibility.²

A calculation for the two-dimensional model is of interest as it has been established that ideal turbostratic carbon behaves like two-dimensional graphite for motion of electrons parallel to the layer planes. A formula similar to (2) has previously been proposed on the basis of experimental results for pyrocarbons.³ It is interesting to speculate that a formula similar to (2) may be derived in general. For the formula (2) to hold for three-dimensional graphite, the dimensionless combination of constants $2\hbar^2 \alpha \lambda / \gamma_0^2 m a^2$ should have the value 0.5×10^{-4} whereas its value using the parameter values previously determined¹ is 0.8×10^{-4} . Comparisons for other cases will be given.

*Supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

¹J. W. McClure and Y. Yafet, Proceedings of the Fifth Conference on Carbon (Pergamon Press, New York, 1962) vol. 1, p. 22.

²J. W. McClure, Phys. Rev. **104**, 666 (1956).

³A. Pacault, J. Uebersfeld, J. G. Théobald, and M. Cerutti, Comptes rendus **261**, 3589 (1965).